## Preparation of N-Fmoc-Protected (S)-5-Amino-4,4-difluoro-7 methyloctanoic Acid, a Possible Dipeptide Isostere

by Gildas Deniau<sup>1</sup>) and Dieter Seebach\*

Laboratorium für Organische Chemie, Departement für Chemie und Angewandte Biowissenschaften, ETH-Zürich, Hönggerberg, Wolfgang-Pauli-Strasse 10, CH-8093 Zürich  $(phone: +41-44-632-2990; fax: +41-44-632-1144; e-mail: seebach@org.chem.ethz.ch)$ 

The title compound 1 was prepared from L-leucine. The key steps include a *Grignard* addition to  $Bn<sub>2</sub>$ -leucinal, a CO/CF<sub>2</sub> replacement with Et<sub>2</sub>NSF<sub>3</sub> (DAST) and use of a Ph group as synthetic equivalent of a COOH group. The difluoro-d-amino acid 1 was incorporated into a peptide 8; tests with various proteases showed no inhibition by this particular peptide.

Statine **B** [1], homostatine **D** [2], and ketomethylene analogs **C** [3] have been widely used as building blocks in peptides for inhibition of aspartic proteinases [4], notably of renin [5], and of aminopeptidases [6]. While the statines **B** are  $\gamma$ -amino-acid derivatives (providing five chain atoms), the 5-amino-4-oxo- and the 5-amino-4 hydroxy-carboxylic acid derivatives C and D contain six chain atoms, just like a dipeptide segment  $\bf{A}$  of a 'normal peptide' ('dipeptide isosteres').

In spite of the fact that 'Organic Fluorine Hardly Ever Accepts Hydrogen Bonds', [7] the literature is full of reports<sup>2</sup>) describing compounds containing  $C-F$  groups in positions where corresponding model compounds contain C-OH groups, with comparisons of physiological properties of the two types of compounds [8] [9]. To provide another test case, we have prepared the N-protected difluoro amino acid 1 and incorporated it into a peptide for biological tests.

The starting point of the synthesis (Scheme 1) was  $(S)$ -2-(dibenzylamino)-4methylpentanal  $(2)$ , an aldehyde derived from L-leucine [10]. A Ph ring was used as a hidden COOH moiety [11] [12] to avoid cyclizations of intermediates with formation of a lactone or lactam ring. Addition of 2-phenylethyl Grignard reagent to the aldehyde group gave a readily separated  $ca. 12:1$  mixture of two crystalline diastereoisomeric amino alcohols (89% yield). By analogy with the literature [10], the major diastereoisomer 3 is assigned  $(3R,4S)$ -configuration ('non-chelation-controlled' approach of the nucleophile from the Re-face of the  $C=O$  group, relative topicity ul).

Swern oxidation [13] of the amino alcohol 3 gave the amino ketone 4 as an oil in 91% yield. Treatment of the ketone with eightfold excess of  $Et<sub>2</sub>NSF<sub>3</sub>$  (DAST) [14]  $(CH_2Cl_2, -5$  to  $+20^{\circ}, 4$  d) provided the difluoro amine 5 in 55% yield. The loss of one

© 2009 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Postdoctoral Fellow, ETH-Zürich (2007–2009), financially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Project No. 200020-117586) and by Novartis Pharma AG.

<sup>2)</sup> Especially in the patent literature describing pharmaceutical structure – activity relationships.





of the N-bound Bn groups in this process might be the result of the sequence of steps outlined in Scheme 2.

The remaining steps are functional-group manipulations: Bn/(tert-butoxy)carbonyl (Boc) exchange (H<sub>2</sub>/Pd-C, Boc<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow$  87% 6), oxidative degradation of the Ph ring to a Scheme 2. Possible Mode of Loss of a Benzyl Group in the Process of Replacement of CO by  $CF_2(4 \rightarrow 5)$ with DAST (Et<sub>NSF3</sub>). Compare with the reports on OH/F-retention substitution in  $\alpha$ -hydroxy- $\beta$ -amino acid derivatives [15] and related works [16].



COOH group ( $RuCl<sub>3</sub>/NaIO<sub>4</sub> \rightarrow 7$ ) and direct Boc/(9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) interchange (CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H, then Fmoc-OSu/Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  1, 55% overall from 6). Both the Boc- and the Fmoc-protected amino acids 7 and 1, respectively, consisted of mixtures of rotamers as evident from temperature-dependent NMR spectra in  $(D_6)$ DMSO (see *Exper. Part*).

The 5-(Fmoc-amino)-4,4-difluoro-7-methyloctanoic acid 1, thus obtained, has a sharp melting point and is optically active ([ $a$ ]<sub>D</sub> =  $-16.4$ ), like all the intermediates of the sequence of reactions leading to 1. Although we did not determine the enantiomer purity of any of the compounds  $1 - 7$ , for instance by HPLC on chiral column materials or by NMR techniques, we have no reason to assume that there has been partial racemization on the way from l-leucine to the acid 1.

To see whether a peptide containing the amino-difluoro-acyl moiety of 1 could possibly function as a dipeptide isostere (*cf.* ketomethylene in **C** with the  $CF_2-CH_2$ segment in 1) we have, so far, prepared only one peptide analog. We chose the octapeptide E, which, apart from replacement of the C-terminal His by a Tyr residue, is the  $6-13$  sequence of angiotensinogen, the substrate of human renin. Peptides of this kind had first been prepared in 1973, in the course of a search for renin inhibitors [17]. Thus, the peptide 8, containing seven amino acids, was synthesized by the Fmoc strategy' [18] on *Wang* resin [19]. The crude product solution obtained by cleavage from the resin was lyophilized, and the residue purified  $(> 98\%)$  by preparative HPL chromatography, and the pure peptide 8 was identified by NMR spectroscopy and highresolution mass spectrometry.

Tests with enzymes hBAC, hCathepsin, hPepsin, and hRenin<sup>3</sup>) showed that there is no cleavage and no inhibition by peptide 8. The use of the  $\delta$ -amino-acid moiety of 1 in other peptides, especially those in which the ketomethylene replacement C was successful, will provide a further test of the viability of the  $CO/CF_2$  replacement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>) We thank Drs. H. Sellner and O. Dreier of Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, for carrying out these experiments.



Furthermore,  $\gamma$ , $\gamma$ -difluoro-acid analogs of 1 with an additional side chain in the  $\alpha$ position (*cf.* homostatines **D**,  $R$  + H) should be targets of future investigations.

## Experimental Part

General. Abbreviations. DMAP: 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine, Bn: benzyl, DAST: Et2NSF3, Fmoc-OSu: N-{[(9H-fluoren-9-ylmethoxy)carbonyl]oxy}succinimide, HATU: O-(7-azabenzotriazol-1-yl)- 1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate, TFA: CF<sub>3</sub>COOH, TNBS: 2,4,6-trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid, MeIm: 1-methyl-1H-imidazole, MSNT: 1-(mesitylene-2-sulfonyl)-3-nitro-1H-1,2,4-triazol, HPLC: high-performance liquid chromatography, MALDI: matrix-assisted laser-desorption ionization.

Materials and Methods. All reagents were of synthetic grade and were used without further purification unless otherwise stated. Dry THF was distilled from Na and benzophenone; dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was distilled from CaH<sub>2</sub>. All moisture-sensitive reactions were carried out under a positive pressure of  $N_2$  in oven-dried glassware (140°). Org. extracts were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. TLC: Merck TLC silica gel 60  $F_{254}$ aluminum plates; visualization by inspection under UV light (254 nm) or by the use of KMnO<sub>4</sub> stain, Mobased stain, bromocresol green stain, or ninhydrin spray. Column chromatography (CC): silica gel 60 (40 – 63  $\mu$ ) from *Fluka. Wang resin was purchased from Novabiochem*, amino acids were purchased from Fluka. Reversed-phase (RP) HPLC: Merck/Hitachi HPLC system (LaChrom, pump type L-7150, UVdetector L-7400, interface D-7000, HPLC-manager D-7000). Anal. HPLC: Macherey-Nagel C<sub>18</sub> column (Nucleosil 100-5 C18 (250  $\times$  4 mm)) using a gradient of solvent A (MeCN) and B (0.1% TFA in H<sub>2</sub>O) at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. Prep. HPLC: Macherey-Nagel C<sub>18</sub> column (Nucleosil 100 – 5 C18 (250  $\times$  21 mm)) using a gradient of solvent A (MeCN) and B (0.1% TFA in H<sub>2</sub>O) at a flow rate of 10 ml/min. Lyophilization was realized using a *Hetosicc* cooling condenser with high-vacuum pump to obtain peptides as their TFA salts. M.p.: *Büchi 510* melting-point apparatus; uncorrected. Optical rotations:

*Perkin-Elmer 241* polarimeter (10 cm, 1-ml cell),  $[\alpha]_D$  values are determined at 589 nm and given in  $10^{-1}$ deg cm<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>. IR Spectra: neat (unless otherwise stated) on a *Perkin-Elmer precisely Universal ATR* Sampling Accessory; in cm<sup>-1</sup>. NMR Spectra: either *Bruker AV-300* (<sup>1</sup>H: 300.06 MHz, <sup>13</sup>C: 75.45 MHz,  $^{19}$ F: 282.34 MHz), or *AMX-400* (<sup>1</sup>H: 400.95 MHz, <sup>13</sup>C: 100.12 MHz), or *AV-600* (<sup>1</sup>H: 600.13 MHz, <sup>13</sup>C: 150.91 MHz,  ${}^{19}F: 376.33$  MHz); chemical shifts  $\delta$  are reported in ppm relative to internal standard Me<sub>4</sub>Si for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C, and to external standard CFCl<sub>3</sub> for <sup>19</sup>F; coupling constants *J* in Hz; <sup>1</sup>H-, <sup>13</sup>C-, and <sup>19</sup>Fspectroscopic data assigned on a routine basis by a combination of 1D and 2D experiments (COSY, HSCQ, HMBC, NOESY). High-resolution (HR) MS: IonSpec Ultima 4.7 T FT Ion Cyclotron Resonance (ICR, HR-MALDI-MS, in a 2,5-dihydrobenzoic acid matrix) mass spectrometer in  $m/z$  (% of basis peak). Elemental analyses were performed by the Microanalytical Laboratory of the Laboratorium für Organische Chemie, ETH-Zürich.

Preparation of Compound 1. (3R,4S)-4-(Dibenzylamino)-6-methyl-1-phenylheptan-3-ol (3). A soln. of (2-bromoethyl)benzene (4.06 ml, 30 mmol, 1.8 equiv.) in dry THF (30 ml) was added dropwise at r.t. into a round-bottom flask filled with  $N_2$  and containing Mg turnings (778 mg, 32 mmol, 1.9 equiv.), one crystal of I<sub>2</sub>, and dry THF (50 ml). The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h, and a soln. of N,N-dibenzyl-Lleucinal (2) (prepared according to the literature procedure [10b]; 5 g, 17 mmol) in dry THF (20 ml) was added dropwise over 10 min. The mixture was stirred for an additional 2 h, and the reaction was quenched by the addition of sat.  $NH<sub>4</sub>Cl$  soln. (80 ml). The product was extracted with AcOEt, and the combined org. phases were dried  $(MgSO<sub>4</sub>)$  and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by CC (hexane/AcOEt 15:1) to give the minor diastereoisomer (3S,4S)-4-(dibenzylamino)-6methyl-1-phenylheptan-3-ol as a colorless crystalline solid, which eluted first (450 mg, 7% yield). The main product 3 was obtained as a colorless oil which slowly crystallized (5.58 g, 82% yield). M.p. 73 – 74 $\degree$ (hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>).  $R_f$  (hexane/AcOEt 10:1) 0.31. [ $\alpha$ ] $_{10}^{20} = -3.1$  ( $c = 1$ , CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3456 (br.), 3027*w*, 2951m, 1603w, 1495s, 1453s, 1365w, 1065m, 1028m, 746s, 698s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.73 (*d, J =* 6.5, Me); 0.88  $(d, J = 6.5, \text{Me})$ ; 1.23  $(id, J = 6.9, 13.8, 1 \text{ H}, \text{CH}_2)$ ; 1.57  $(id, J = 6.9, 13.8, 1 \text{ H}, \text{CH}_2)$ ; 1.63 – 180 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>, Me<sub>2</sub>CH); 2.31 (br. s, OH); 2.56 (ddd, J = 7.2, 9.2, 13.8, 1 H, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 2.74 (dt, J = 4.0, 7.0, CHN); 2.87 (ddd,  $J = 5.0$ , 9.0, 14.2, 1 H, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 3.63 (s, 2 PhCH<sub>2</sub>N); 3.73 (td,  $J = 3.4$ , 9.8, CHOH); 7.14 – 7.31 (m, 15 arom. H); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 22.9 (Me); 23.0 (Me); 24.8 (CH); 33.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>); 34.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>); 36.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>); 55.2 (2 PhCH<sub>2</sub>N); 58.6 (CHN); 69.9 (CHOH); 125.7; 127.0 (2 C); 128.2 (4 C); 128.3 (2 C); 128.4 (2 C); 128.9 (4 C); 139.9 (2 C); 142.0. ESI-MS: 402.27942 ( $[M + H]^+, C_{28}H_{36}NO^+$ ; calc. 402.27914  $(+0.7$  ppm)).

(S)-4-(Dibenzylamino)-6-methyl-1-phenylheptan-3-one (4). To a stirred soln. of oxalyl chloride  $(0.805 \text{ ml}, 9.35 \text{ mmol}, 1.5 \text{ equiv.})$  in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 ml) cooled at  $-78^{\circ}$  under N<sub>2</sub> was added dropwise DMSO (1.33 ml, 18.7 mmol, 3 equiv.). After stirring for 15 min, a soln. of  $3$  (2.5 g, 6.23 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (15 ml) was added dropwise at  $-78^{\circ}$ . The mixture was stirred at this temp. for 30 min, and Et<sub>3</sub>N (2.5 ml) was added. The mixture was then allowed to slowly warm to r.t. over 2 h, and the reaction was quenched with H<sub>2</sub>O (20 ml). The product was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, and the combined org. phases were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by CC (hexane/AcOEt 20:1) to yield 4 (2.28 g, 91%). Colorless oil.  $\lbrack a \rbrack_0^{20} = -91.6$  ( $c = 1$ , CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3027w, 2954m, 1713s,  $1603w$ ,  $1495s$ ,  $1453s$ ,  $1368m$ ,  $1071w$ ,  $1028w$ ,  $746s$ ,  $698s$ .  ${}^{1}$ H-NMR  $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): 0.71 \ (d, J = 6.4, \text{Me})$ ; 0.80  $(d, J = 6.4, \text{Me})$ ; 1.34 – 1.48  $(m, \text{CH}, 1 \text{ H of } \text{CH}_2)$ ; 1.70  $(ddd, J = 5.1, 8.8, 12.9, 1 \text{ H}, \text{CH}_2)$ ; 2.62 – 2.70  $(m, 1 \text{ H}, \text{CH}_2\text{CO})$ ; 2.74 – 2.92  $(m, 1 \text{ H of } \text{CH}_2\text{CO}, \text{PhCH}_2)$ ; 3.23 (dd,  $J = 4.2, 8.8, \text{CHN}$ ); 3.50 (d,  $J = 13.7$ ,  $2 \times 1$  H, PhCH<sub>2</sub>N); 3.67 (d, J = 13.7, 2 × 1 H, PhCH<sub>2</sub>N); 7.08 – 7.31 (m, 15 arom. H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 22.5 (Me); 23.2 (Me); 25.5 (Me<sub>2</sub>CH); 30.0 (PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 32.2 (CHCH<sub>2</sub>); 42.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>CO); 54.6 (2 × PhCH2N); 64.2 (CHN); 126.0; 127.2 (2 C); 128.3 (4 C); 128.4 (2 C); 128.5 (2 C); 129.0 (4 C); 139.7 (2 C); 141.3; 210.7 (CO). HR-MALDI-MS: 400.2635 ( $[M + H]^+$ ,  $C_{28}H_{34}NO^+$ ; calc. 400.2635 (+0.0 ppm)).

(S)-Benzyl-3,3-difluoro-6-methyl-1-phenylheptan-4-amine (5). To a soln. of 4 (2 g, 5 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 ml) stirred at  $-5^{\circ}$  under Ar was added dropwise DAST (4.9 ml, 40 mmol, 8 equiv.). The mixture was allowed to slowly warm to r.t. and stirred for 4 d. The mixture was then cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ , and the reaction was quenched by the addition of sat. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln.  $(10 \text{ ml})$ . The product was extracted with  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$ , the combined org. layers were evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by CC (hexane/AcOEt 40:1) to give 5 (930 mg, 55% yield). Yellowish oil.  $[a]_D^{20} = -30.8$  ( $c = 1$ , CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3028w, 2956s, 1496m, 1454s, 1379m, 1187w, 1123m, 1044m, 936s, 744s, 698s. <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (300 MHz,

CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.81 (d, J = 6.5, Me); 0.91 (d, J = 6.6, Me); 1.16 (br. s, NH); 1.30 (ddd, J = 4.8, 9.6, 13.8, 1 H,  $CH<sub>2</sub>$ ); 1.40 (ddd, J = 3.5, 9.4, 13.4, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>); 1.69 – 1.79 (m, Me<sub>2</sub>CH); 2.05 – 2.21 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>); 2.24 – 2.40 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>); 2.79 – 2.94 (m, CHN, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 3.82 (d, J = 13.0, 1 H, PhCH<sub>2</sub>N); 3.93 (d,  $J = 13.0, 1$  H, PhCH<sub>2</sub>N); 7.16 – 7.33 (m, 10 arom. H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 21.7 (Me); 23.8 (Me); 25.0 (Me<sub>2</sub>CH); 27.9 (t,  $J = 5.0$ , PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 35.0 (t,  $J = 24.7$ , CH<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>); 39.2 (t,  $J = 3.4$ , CH<sub>2</sub>); 53.0  $(PhCH<sub>2</sub>N); 59.1$  (t, J = 25.4, CHN); 126.1; 126.47 (t, J = 245.7, CF<sub>2</sub>); 127.1; 128.4 (2 C); 128.5 (4 C); 128.6  $(2 \text{ C}); 140.7; 141.3. \text{ }^{19}$ F-NMR (375 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $-104.67$  (tdd,  $J = 10.0, 26.7, 245.0, 1 \text{ F}; -106.75$  (tdd,  $J = 9.8, 26.5, 245.0, 1 \text{ F}$ ). ESI-MS: 332.2186 ([ $M + H$ ]<sup>+</sup>, C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>28</sub>F<sub>2</sub>N<sup>+</sup>; calc. 332.2184 (+0.45 ppm)).

(S)-N-[(tert-Butoxy)carbonyl]-3,3-difluoro-6-methyl-1-phenylheptan-4-amine (6). To a soln. of 5 (565 mg, 1.7 mmol) in MeOH (15 ml) was added Pd/C 10% (160 mg). The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h under atmospheric pressure of  $H_2$ . Boc<sub>2</sub>O (745 mg, 3.4 mmol, 2 equiv.) was added, and the black suspension was stirred for 24 h under  $H_2$ . The mixture was filtered over Celite® to remove Pd/C, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken in  $Et_2O(20 \text{ ml})$ , and  $H_2O(4 \text{ ml})$ was added. The org. phase was separated, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by CC (100% hexane, then hexane/AcOEt  $30:1$ ) to give 6 (505 mg, 87% yield). Colorless crystalline solid. M.p. 76–77° (from hexane/AcOEt).  $\left[a\right]_D^{20} = -18.9$  ( $c = 1$ , CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3364m, 2961s, 1701s, 1506s, 1367s, 1254m, 1166s, 1044s, 940m, 747m, 700s. <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): 0.94 (d,  $J = 6.5$ , Me); 0.96 (d,  $J = 6.6$ , Me); 1.45 (s, 3 Me); 1.36 – 1.60 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>); 1.64 – 1.74 (m, Me<sub>2</sub>CH); 2.09 – 2.25 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>); 2.73 – 2.95 (m, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 4.06 (m, CHN); 4.47 (d,  $J = 10.2$ , NH); 7.17 – 7.30 (m, 5 arom. H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 21.3 (Me); 23.7 (Me); 24.5 (Me<sub>2</sub>CH); 28.1 (t, J = 4.9, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 28.3  $(3 \text{ Me})$ ; 36.1  $(t, J = 24.5, \text{ CH}_2\text{CF}_2)$ ; 37.0  $(\text{CH}_2)$ ; 52.0  $(dd, J = 24.4, 30.4, \text{CHN})$ ; 79.9  $(\text{CMe}_3)$ ; 123.9  $(t, J = 24.5, \text{CH}_2\text{CF}_2)$ 246.2, CF<sub>2</sub>); 126.1; 128.4 (2 C); 128.5 (2 C); 140.8; 155.6 (CO). <sup>19</sup>F-NMR (375 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $-108.73$  $(ddd,J = 6.5, 18.7, 246.0, 1 \text{ F}); -111.41 (ddd, J = 18.8, 31.1, 246.2, 1 \text{ F}). \text{ ESI-MS: } 364.20567 \left( [M + Na]^{+}, 246.0, 1 \text{ F} \right);$  $C_{19}H_{29}F_2NNaO_2^*$ ; calc. 364.20586 (-0.52 ppm)). Anal. calc. for  $C_{19}H_{29}NO_2F_2$ : C 66.84, H 8.56, N 4.10; found: C 66.57, H 8.46, N 4.11.

(S)-5-{[(tert-Butoxy)carbonyl]amino}-4,4-difluoro-7-methyloctanoic Acid (7). Compound 6 (300 mg, 0.88 mmol) was dissolved in the biphasic solvent system CCl<sub>4</sub>/MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O (8:8, 10 ml), and NaIO<sub>4</sub> (3.385 g, 15.8 mmol, 18 equiv.) was added. The mixture was then treated with RuCl<sub>3</sub> · x H<sub>2</sub>O (36%) Ru; 15 mg, 0.05 mmol, 6 mol-%), and the mixture was stirred vigorously at  $25^{\circ}$  in a water bath for 2 d. The mixture was then filtered through a large Celite® pad, and the solids were washed with AcOEt. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness to give a brownish residue that was used directly for the next step. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.92 (d, J = 6.5, Me); 0.95 (d, J = 6.5, Me); 1.37 – 1.54 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>); 1.44  $(s, 9 H)$ ; 1.61 – 1.75 (m, Me<sub>2</sub>CH); 2.14 – 2.32 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>); 2.52 – 2.73 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>); 3.81 – 4.06 (m, CHN); 4.49 (d,  $J = 10.2$ , NH, major rotamer). <sup>19</sup>F-NMR (285 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $-108.09$  (dm,  $J = 249.0$ , minor rotamer);  $-109.13$  (dm,  $J = 248.8, 1 \text{ F}$ );  $-111.84$  (dm,  $J = 249.2$ , minor rotamer);  $-112.92$  (dm,  $J = 247.8, 1 \text{ F}.$ 

(S)-5-({[(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy]carbonyl}amino)-4,4-difluoro-7-methyloctanoic Acid (1). Crude 7 was treated at r.t. with TFA  $(8 \text{ ml})$ , and the soln. was stirred at r.t. for 1 h. CHCl<sub>3</sub> was added, and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Final traces of TFA were removed under high vacuum, and the resulting residue was dissolved in  $0.2M$  Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (17 ml, 4 equiv.). A soln. of Fmoc-OSu (445 mg, 1.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) in acetone (8 ml) was then added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at r.t. for 6 h. Acetone was evaporated under reduced pressure, the residual soln. was extracted with  $Et<sub>o</sub>O$  $(3 \times 10 \text{ ml})$ , and the aq. phase was acidified to pH 2 with 6N HCl before being extracted with AcOEt  $(3 \times 10 \text{ ml})$ . The org. extracts were combined, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. Purification by CC (hexane/AcOEt 3:1, 0.5% AcOH) afforded 1 (209 mg, 55% yield). Colorless solid. M.p.  $130-132°$ (hexane/AcOEt).  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -16.4$  (c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 2959m, 1713s, 1520m, 1450m, 1260m, 1216s, 1114w,  $1056m, 947m, 757s$ . <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, (D<sub>6</sub>)DMSO)<sup>4</sup>): 0.45 (d, J = 6.5, Me rotamer 10%); 0.74 (d, J = 6.5, Me rotamer 10%); 0.80 (d,  $J = 6.6$ , Me); 0.88 (d,  $J = 6.6$ , Me); 1.22 – 1.32 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>); 1.46 – 1.57  $(m, \text{Me}_2\text{CH}, 1 \text{ H of CH}_2); 2.02 - 2.17 \ (m, \text{CH}_2\text{CF}_2); 2.30 - 2.45 \ (m, \text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2); 3.77 - 3.90 \ (m, \text{CHN}); 4.21$  $(t, J = 6.9, \text{CH of Fmoc})$ ; 4.37  $(d, J = 6.9, \text{CH}_2\text{O})$ ; 7.28 – 7.33  $(m, 2 \text{ arcm. H})$ ; 7.38 – 7.42  $(m, 2 \text{ arcm. H})$ ;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>) NMR Measurement at 60° showed disappearance of the minor rotamer signals with concomitant broadening of the signals of the major rotamer.

7.60  $(d, J = 9.4, NH)$ ; 7.69  $(dd, J = 4.2, 7.1, 2$  arom. H); 7.87  $(d, J = 7.5, 2$  arom. H); 12.05 (br. s, CO<sub>2</sub>H).  $^{13}$ C-NMR (100 MHz, (D<sub>6</sub>)DMSO): 21.3 (Me): 23.7 (Me): 24.0 (Me<sub>2</sub>CH): 26.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H): 28.3 (t, J = 24.7, CH<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>); 35.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>); 46.8 (CH of Fmoc); 52.7 (t, J = 27.6, CHN); 65.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>O); 120.4 (2 C); 124.4  $(t, J = 247.8, CF_2)$ ; 125.4 (2 C); 127.2 (2 C); 127.9 (2 C); 141.0 (2 C); 144.2 (2 C); 156.8 (CO); 173.5  $(CO_2H)$ . <sup>19</sup>F-NMR (375 MHz,  $(D_6)DMSO$ ):  $-106.63$  (ddt,  $J = 241.8, 18.3, 8.2, 1$  F);  $-109.03$  (dm,  $J =$ 241.8, 1 F). HR-MALDI-MS: 454.1800  $([M + Na]^+, C_{24}H_{27}F_2NNaO_4^+$ ; calc. 454.18004  $(1.32~\text{ppm}))$ .

Preparation of  $2 \cdot TFA$ . H-(S)-His-(S)-Pro-(S)-Phe-(S)-His-(S)-3,3-difluoro- $\delta$ -h(F<sub>2</sub>)hhLeu-(S)-Ile-(S)-Tyr-OH (8). Anchorage of N-Fmoc-Protected Amino Acid on Wang Resin. Esterification of the N-Fmoc-Tyr(O'Bu)-OH with Wang resin was performed by the MSNT/MeIm method [20]. The resin  $(75 \text{ mg}, 1.1 \text{ mmol/g}, 100 - 200 \text{ mesh})$  was placed in a dry manual reactor and swollen in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 ml) for 30 min. A soln. of N-Fmoc-Tyr(O'Bu)-OH (200 mg, 0.44 mmol, 5 equiv.), MeIm (26 µl, 0.33 mmol, 3.75 equiv.), and MSNT (130 mg, 0.44 mmol, 5 equiv.) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 ml) was then added to the swollen resin under  $N_2$ , and the suspension was mixed by  $N_2$  bubbling for 4 h. The resin was filtered, washed with  $CH_2Cl_2$ , and dried under vacuum for 14 h. The resin substitution was determined by measuring the absorbance of the dibenzofulvene-piperidine adduct [18b] and was found to be 0.93 mmol/g (85%).

Capping Procedure. The peptide – resin was taken in a soln. of Ac<sub>2</sub>O (66  $\mu$ l, 10 equiv.) and DMAP  $(0.2 \text{ equiv.})$  in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 ml), and the suspension was mixed by N<sub>2</sub> bubbling for 2 h. The resin was then washed by  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5  $\times$  5 ml, 1 min).

Deprotection of N-Fmoc-Protected Amino Acids on Wang Resin (GP 1). The Fmoc deprotection was performed using a soln. of 20% piperidine in DMF (2 ml/mmol;  $3 \times 10$  min), with bubbling of N<sub>2</sub>. After filtration, the resin was washed with DMF ( $3 \times 1$  min).

Coupling of Amino Acids on Wang Resin (GP 2). The resin was treated with a soln. of Fmocprotected amino acid (3 equiv.), HATU (2.9 equiv.) and  $EtN(i-Pr)$ <sub>2</sub> (5 equiv.) in DMF (3 ml/mmol) for  $1-4$  h under bubbling of N<sub>2</sub>. After complete coupling (visualized by the TNBS test), the resin was washed with DMF  $(5 \times 1 \text{ min.})$ .

Wang-Resin Cleavage and Final Deprotection. The dry peptide – resin was taken in a soln. of TFA/  $iPr<sub>3</sub>SiH/H<sub>2</sub>O$  (95 : 2.5 : 2.5, 3 ml/mmol) for 3 h under bubbling of N<sub>2</sub>. The resin was removed by filtration and washed with TFA  $(2 \times 2 \text{ ml})$ . The combined filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the oily residue was treated with cold  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$ . The precipitated crude peptide was filtered and dried under high vacuum. Purification by prep. RP-HPLC  $(2-40\%$  in 50 min) and lyophilization yielded peptide 8 (29 mg, 35%) as TFA salt. Colorless solid. Anal. RP-HPLC  $(2-40\% \text{ A in 35 min}, t_R 28.1 \text{ min}, \text{purity } > 98\%).$  ${}^{1}H\text{-NMR}$  (600 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 0.88 – 0.91 (*m*, 2 × Me of Ile + Me of h(F<sub>2</sub>)hhLeu); 0.97 (*d, J* = 6.6, Me of h(F<sub>2</sub>)hhLeu); 1.12 – 1.19 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub> of Ile); 1.44 – 1.50 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub> of Ile; 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub> of Leu); 1.54 – 160  $(m, 1 H, CH, 0f, Leu); 1.60 - 1.65$   $(m, CH of Leu); 1.78 - 1.85$   $(m, CH of He); 1.91 - 1.97$   $(m, 1 H, CH, 0f)$ Pro);  $1.99 - 2.10$  (m, CH<sub>2</sub> of Pro, CH<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>);  $2.31 - 2.37$  (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub> of Pro);  $2.41 - 2.49$  (m, CH<sub>2</sub>CO of h(F<sub>2</sub>)hhLeu); 2.90 (dd, J = 8.8, 14.1, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub> of Tyr); 3.03 (dd, J = 8.0, 13.6, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub> of Phe); 3.09 – 3.15 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub> of Tyr; 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub> of Phe; 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub> of His4); 3.28 (dd,  $J = 7.2, 15.3, 1$  H, CH<sub>2</sub> of His4); 3.41 (d,  $J = 5.7$ , CH<sub>2</sub> of His7); 3.54 – 3.58 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>N of Pro); 3.78 – 3.82 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>N of Pro); 4.21  $(d, J = 7.7, H - C(a)$  of Ile); 4.25 – 4.33  $(m, CHCF_2)$ ; 4.56  $(dd, J = 6.4, 8.4, H - C(a)$  of Pro); 4.60 – 4.63  $(m, H - C(a)$  of Tyr,  $H - C(a)$  of His7); 4.66 – 4.72  $(m, H - C(a)$  of Phe,  $H - C(a)$  of His4); 6.69  $(d, J = 8.7,$ 2 CH of Tyr); 7.06 (d,  $J = 8.7, 2$  CH of Tyr); 7.20 – 7.24 (m, CH of Phe); 7.26 – 7.31 (m, 4 CH of Phe, CH of His4); 7.47 (CH, His7); 8.09 (d,  $J = 8.4$ , NH of Ile); 8.20 (d,  $J = 8.2$ , NH of Tyr); 8.34 (d,  $J = 9.4$ , NH of h(F<sub>2</sub>)hhLeu); 8.50 (d, J = 7.8, NH of His4); 8.73 (d, J = 1.4, NH of His); 8.75 (m, NH of His); 8.80 (d,  $J = 7.0$ , NH of Phe). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (150 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 11.3 (Me of Ile); 15.8 (Me of Ile); 21.6 (Me of h(F<sub>2</sub>)hhLeu); 24.0 (Me of h(F<sub>2</sub>)hhLeu); 25.4 (CH of h(F<sub>2</sub>)hhLeu); 25.8 (CH<sub>2</sub> of Ile); 26.2 (CH<sub>2</sub> of Pro); 26.6 (CH<sub>2</sub> of His7); 28.5 (CH<sub>2</sub> of His4); 28.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>CO of h(F<sub>2</sub>)hhLeu); 30.5 (t,  $J = 24.3$ , CH<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub> of h(F<sub>2</sub>)hhLeu); 30.8 (CH<sub>2</sub> of Pro); 37.3 (CH<sub>2</sub> of Leu); 37.6 (CH<sub>2</sub> of Tyr); 37.9 (CH of Ile); 38.4 (CH<sub>2</sub> of Phe); 48.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>N of Pro); 51.9 (C(a) of His7); 52.3 (t,  $J = 27.2$ , CHCF<sub>2</sub> of h(F<sub>2</sub>)hhLeu); 53.8 (C(a) of His4); 55.2 (C(a) of Tyr); 56.4 (C(a) of Phe); 59.6 (C(a) of Ile); 61.8 (C(a) of Pro); 116.2 (2  $\times$  CH of Tyr); 118.6 (CH of His4); 120.4 (CH of His7); 128.8  $(t, J = 245.4, CF_2)$ ; 127.9 (C of His7 + CH of Phe); 129.0 (C of Tyr); 129.5 (2 × CH of Phe); 130.3 (2 × CH of Phe); 130.5 (C of His4); 131.3 (2 × CH of Tyr); 134.9 (CH of His4); 136.2 (CH of His7); 137.9 (C of Phe); 157.2 (COH of Tyr); 167.6 (CO of His7); 172.0 (CO of His4); 173.1 (CO of Phe); 173.7 (CO of Ile); 174.2 (CO of  $h(F_2)$ hhLeu); 174.8 (2 × CO, Tyr +

Pro). <sup>19</sup>F-NMR (375 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): -109.33 (dm, J = 245.2 Hz, 1 F); -111.10 (dm, J = 245.2 Hz, 1 F). HR-MALDI-MS: 1004.5164 ( $[M + H]^+$ ,  $C_{50}H_{68}F_2N_{11}O_2^+$ ; calc. 1004.51641 ( $-1.89$  ppm)).

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] D. H. Rich, J. Med. Chem. 1985, 28, 263; J. Boger, L. S. Payne, D. S. Perlow, N. S. Lohr, M. Poe, E. H. Blaine, E. H. Ulm, T. W. Schorn, B. I. LaMont, T.-Y. Lin, M. Kawai, D. H. Rich, J. Med. Chem. 1985, 28, 1779; R. Guegan, J. Diaz, C. Cazaubon, M. Beaumont, C. Carlet, J. Clement, H. Demarne, M. Mellet, J.-P. Richaud, D. Segondy, M. Vedel, J.-P. Gagnol, R. Roncucci, B. Castro, P. Corvol, G. Evin, B. P. Roques, J. Med. Chem. 1986, 29, 1152; K. Y. Hui, W. D. Carlson, M. S. Bernatowicz, E. Haber, J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 1287; T. K. Sawyer, D. T. Pals, B. Mao, D. J. Staples, A. E. deVaux, L. L. Maggiora, J. A. Affholter, W. Kati, D. Duchamp, J. B. Hester, C. W. Smith, H. H. Saneii, J. Kinner, M. Handschumacher, W. Carlson, J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 18.
- [2] H. Yanagisawa, T. Kanazaki, T. Nishi, Chem. Lett. 1989, 687; H.-E. Radunz, H.-U. Reißig, G. Schneider, A. Riethmüller, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1990, 705; S. Atsuumi, M. Nakato, Y. Koike, S. Tanaka, H. Funabashi, K. Matsuyama, M. Nakano, Y. Sawasaki, K. Funabashi, H. Morishima, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1992, 40, 3214.
- [3] M. T. García-López, R. González-Muñiz, J. R. Harto, Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 1577; R. V. Hoffman, J. Tao, Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 7119.
- [4] J. B. Cooper, S. I. Foundling, T. L. Blundell, J. Boger, R. A. Jupp, J. Kay, Biochemistry 1989, 28, 8596; N. S. Andreeva, L. D. Rumsh, Protein Sci. 2001, 10, 2439; P. T. Erskine, L. Coates, S. Mall, R. S. Gill, S. P. Wood, D. A. A. Myles, J. B. Cooper, Protein Sci. 2003, 12, 1741.
- [5] M. G. Bock, R. M. DiPardo, B. E. Evans, K. E. Rittle, J. Boger, M. Poe, B. I. LaMont, R. J. Lynch, E. H. Ulm, G. P. Vlasuk, W. J. Greenlee, D. F. Veber, J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 1853; D. K. Stammers, J. G. Dann, C. J. Harris, D. R. Smith, Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1987, 258, 413; V. Dhanaraj, C. G. Dealwis, C. Frazao, M. Badasso, B. L. Sibanda, I. J. Tickle, J. B. Cooper, H. P. C. Driessen, M. Newman, C. Aguilar, S. P. Wood, T. L. Blundell, P. M. Hobart, K. F. Geoghegan, M. J. Ammirati, D. E. Danley, B. A. O'Connor, D. J. Hoover, *Nature* 1992, 357, 466; J. Sueiras-Diaz, D. M. Jones, M. Szelke, B. J. Leckie, S. R. Beattie, J. J. Morton, J. Pept. Res. 1997, 50, 239.
- [6] S. L. Harbeson, D. H. Rich, Biochemistry 1988, 27, 7301; S. L. Harbeson, D. H. Rich J. Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 1378.
- [7] J. D. Dunitz, R. Taylor, Chem.–Eur. J. 1997, 3, 89; J. D. Dunitz, ChemBioChem 2004, 5, 614.
- [8] D. O'Hagan, H. S. Rzepa, *Chem. Commun.* **1997**, 7, 645.
- [9] M. Hoffmann, J. Rychlewski, Int. J. Quant. Chem. 2002, 89, 419; H.-J. Boehm, D. Banner, S. Bendels, M. Kansy, B. Kuhn, K. Mueller, U. Obst-Sander, M. Stahl, ChemBioChem 2004, 5, 637; A. Wong, J. W. Munos, V. Devasthali, K. A. Johnson, H.-W. Liu, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3625; J. A. Hodges, R. T. Raines, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 15923; J.-M. Zhou, H.-B. Zhang, X.-C. Dong, M.-B. Chen, Carbohydr. Res. 2006, 341, 2224.
- [10] a) M. T. Reetz, M. W. Drewes, A. Schmitz, Angew. Chem. 1987, 99, 1186; b) P. L. Beaulieu, D. Wernic, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 3635.
- [11] P. H. J. Carlsen, T. Katsuki, V. S. Martin, K. B. Sharpless, J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 3936.
- [12] G. Deniau, A. M. Z. Slawin, T. Lebl, F. Chorki, J. P. Issberner, T. van Mourik, J. M. Heygate, J. J. Lambert, L.-A. Etherington, K. T. Sillar, D. O' Hagan, ChemBioChem 2007, 8, 2265.
- [13] K. Omura, D. Swern, Tetrahedron 1978, 34, 1651.
- [14] R. P. Singh, J. M. Shreeve, Synthesis 2002, 17, 2561.
- [15] F. Gessier, C. Noti, M. Rueping, D. Seebach, Helv. Chim. Acta 2003, 86, 1862; D. F. Hook, F. Gessier, C. Noti, P. Kast, D. Seebach, ChemBioChem 2004, 5, 691.
- [16] J. E. Baldwin, R. M. Adlington, I. A. O'Neil, C. Schofield, A. C. Spivey, J. B. Sweeney, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1852; M.-B. Giudicelli, D. Picq, D. Anker, Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 6033; M. D'hooghe, N. De Kimpe, Synlett 2006, 13, 2089; T.-X. Métro, D. G. Pardo, J. Cossy, J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 6556.
- [17] K. Poulsen, J. Burton, E. Haber, Biochemistry 1973, 12, 3877; E. Haber, Trans. Assoc. Am. Physicians 1973, 86, 185; K. Poulsen, E. Haber, J. Burton, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Enzymol. 1976, 452, 533; B.

Leckie, M. Szelke, A. Hallett, M. Hughes, A. F. Lever, G. McIntyre, J. J. Morton, M. Tree, Clin. Exp. Hypertens. 1983, 5, 1221; J. Boger, N. S. Lohr, E. H. Ulm, M. Poe, E. H. Blaine, G. M. Fanelli, T.-Y. Lin, L. S. Payne, T. W. Schorn, B. I. LaMont, T. C. Vassil, I. I. Stabilito, D. F. Veber, D. H. Rich, A. S. Bopari, Nature 1983, 303, 81.

- [18] a) L. A. Carpino, G. Y. Han, J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 3404; b) 'Fmoc Solid Phase Peptide Synthesis: A Practical Approach, Eds. W. C. Chan, P. D. White, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000, Vol. 222; c) Novabiochem 2004/5 Catalogue: The Fine Art of Solid Phase Synthesis.
- [19] S. S. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 1328.
- [20] B. Blankemeyer-Menge, M. Nimtz, R. Frank, Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 1701.

Received June 5, 2009